

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/691,879	DESPRES, REMI	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Andrew Chriss	2472	

All Participants:

(1) Andrew Chriss.

(2) Josephine Chang (Reg. No. 46,083).

Date of Interview: 24 March 2010

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

13, 14, 15, 20, and 22

Prior art documents discussed:

Marin et al (United States Patent 6,088,734)

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: In condition for allowance

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 12:00PM

/Andrew Chriss/
Examiner, Art Unit 2472

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner proposed incorporating the limitations of Claim 14 in to independent Claim 13 in order to place the claims in condition for allowance. Examiner also proposed placing reference characters in parentheses and amendments to Claims 15 and 20. Agreement was reached..